

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/756,680	01/10/2001	Richard Cam	16337.870 4581		
7590 05/05/2005			EXAMINER		
Hall, Priddy, Myers & Vande Sande			WONG, BLANCHE		
Suite 200 10220 River Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Potomac, MD 20854			2667		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ů.						
		Application No	•	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
Office Action Summary		09/756,680		CAM ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Blanche Wong		2667					
۔۔ Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory m will apply and will expire , cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days a SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
2a)⊠ 1 3)□ S	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4 5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)□ (
Applicatio	n Papers			•					
10)⊠ T ,A	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be hele tion is required if t	d in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document: Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:	s have been rec s have been rec rity documents h u (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Application ave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(1) Notice	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	⊿۱ ا	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice 3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/756,680 Page 2

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to cl. 13, it is unclear whether – out-of-band information – in In. 13 is meant "out-of-band portion" in cl. 11, In. 4.

With regard to cl. 1 and 11, it is unclear whether the physical path in ln. 9 is the same as the data path in ln. 12. Additionally, there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "said data path" in ln. 12 and "the data path" in ln. 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catellano (U.S Pat No. 6,690,670) in view of Le Guigner et al. (U.S. Pat No. 5,604,743).

With regard to cl. 1 and 11, Catellano discloses a method of interfacing, comprising:

transmitting the in-band portion of said control information 21",23" (TX Control, RX Control) along a physical path 21,23 which is the physical path 21,23 for data 21',23' (TX Data, RX Data) from one of said first 14b (PHY Layer Device) and second layer 12b (ATM Layer Device) devices to another (multiple ATM layers may communicate with multiple PHY layers, col. 6, ln. 61-62) of said first and second layer devices (devices at first and second layers are first and second layer devices), and

inserting in said data path 21,23 a control (controlling the synchronization of the transmit and receive transfers, col. 7, ln. 37-40) of data signal,

as recited in both cl. 1 and 11.

However, Catellano fails to explicitly show a control of data signal to identify when the data path contains control information and when it contains data.

In an analogous art, Le Guigner discloses in Fig. 1, a cell type indicator contained in the PT (payload type, col. 3, ln. 27) in the ATM header (control of data signal).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Le

Guigner in Catellano in order to obtain identification of ATM cells. The

suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to provide for processing of data that

makes it possible to obtain a reassembling of the message cells directly in the working

Page 4

Art Unit: 2667

memory. Le Guigner, col. 1, In. 50-51. At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to combine Catellano and Le Guigner to obtain the invention as specified in cl. 1 and 11.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed November 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to cl. 1 and 11, Applicant contends that the Castellano scheme is "inapplicable to variable length packets as are dealt with in Applicant's invention." Response, p.11, para. 1. However, variable length packets is not disclosed in cl. 1 nor cl. 11.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2667

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RW

BW

April 25, 2005

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Chant, Nfran